arXiv:1012.5591v1 [math.AP] 27 Dec 2010

A HARDY-MOSER-TRUDINGER INEQUALITY

GUOFANG WANG AND DONG YE

Abstract. In this paper we obtain an inequality on the unit disc B in the plane, which improves the classical Moser-Trudinger inequality and the classical Hardy inequality at the same time. Namely, there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_B e^{\frac{4\pi u^2}{H(u)}}dx \leq C_0 < \infty, \quad \forall\, u \in C_0^\infty(B),$$

where

$$H(u) := \int_B |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_B \frac{u^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx.$$

This inequality is a two dimensional analog of the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality in higher dimensions, which was recently intensively studied. We also prove that the supremum is achieved in a suitable function space, which is an analog of the celebrated result of Carleson-Chang for the Moser-Trudinger inequality.

1. Introduction

Let B denote the standard unit disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$. The famous Moser-Trudinger inequality [26, 30]

$$\int_B e^{\frac{4\pi u^2}{\|\nabla u\|_2^2}}dx \leq C < \infty, \quad \forall\, u \in H_0^1(B) \tag{1}$$

plays an important role in two dimensional analytic problems. This inequality is viewed as an analog of the Sobolev inequality for the higher dimensional cases. It is optimal in the sense that the constant 4π could not be replaced by any larger constant. Its slighter weaker form

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_B |\nabla u|^2 dx - 8\pi \log\left(\int_B e^u dx\right) \geq -C > -\infty, \quad \forall\, u \in H_0^1(B)$$

has been intensively used in the problem of prescribed Gaussian curvature and recently in the mean field equation. Here again, the constant 8π is optimal to have a finite infimum.

There is another important inequality in analysis, the Hardy inequality

$$H(u) := \int_B |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_B \frac{u^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx \geq 0, \quad \forall\, u \in H_0^1(B).$$

Here and after, $|\cdot|$ denotes always the Euclidean norm. This result is also optimal in the sense that for any $\lambda > 1$,

$$\inf_{H_0^1(B)}\left[\int_B |\nabla u|^2 dx - \lambda\int_B \frac{u^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx\right] = -\infty.$$

Indeed, this inequality holds also for higher dimensions. The Hardy inequality can be improved in the following way. Let B^n denotes the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 2$, it is known that there exists $C > 0$ (see [8] or Remark 1 below for $n = 2$) such that

$$H(u) \geq C\int_{B^n} u^2 dx, \quad \forall\, u \in H_0^1(B^n). \tag{2}$$

G.W. is partly supported by SFB/TR71 of DFG and D.Y. is supported by the French ANR project referenced ANR-08-BLAN-0335-01. The work was started during a visit of D.Y. at University of Freiburg and continued during a visit of G.W. at Metz University. The authors would like to thank both departments for their hospitality. G.W. would also like to thank the Department of Mathematics, University of Magdeburg for its hospitality.

Hence $\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}} := \sqrt{H(u)}$ defines a norm over $H_0^1(B^n)$ and the completion of $C_0^\infty(B^n)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a Hilbert space, which is denoted by $\mathcal{H}(B^n)$. Obviously $H_0^1(B) \subsetneq \mathcal{H}(B)$. For simplicity, we denote $\mathcal{H}(B)$ by $\mathcal{H}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ by $\|\cdot\|$.

In this paper, one of our main objectives is to improve the Moser-Trudinger inequality by combining the Hardy inequality.

Theorem 1. *There exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\int_B e^{\frac{4\pi u^2}{H(u)}} dx \leq C_0 < \infty, \quad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{3}$$

A direct consequence is the following, slightly weaker, but applicable form.

Corollary 1. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_B |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2}\int_B \frac{u^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx - 8\pi \log\left(\int_B e^u dx\right) \geq -C > -\infty, \quad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{H}\backslash\{0\}. \tag{4}$$

In the first glimpse these improved inequalities look too strong to be true. But if one compares to the recent work on the Hardy-Sololev inequality in higher dimensional case, one would speculate that this can be true. The Hardy-Sobolev inequality for higher dimension is also called the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality. Maz'ya proved in [25] (section 2.1.6, Corollary 3) that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $u \in H_0^1(B^n)$ with $n > 2$,

$$\int_{B^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx - \int_{B^n} \frac{u^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx \geq C\left(\int_{B^n} |u(x)|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}. \tag{5}$$

Let us denote C_n the best constant such that (5) holds. In some recent works, the constant C_n has been estimated (see [28] for $n > 3$ and [7] for $n = 3$). Let S_n be the best constant for the Sobolev embedding from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

- If $n > 3$, then $C_n < S_n$ and the sharp constant C_n is achieved in $\mathcal{H}(B^n)$. See [28].
- If $n = 3$, then $C_3 = S_3$ is not achieved in $\mathcal{H}(B^n)$. See [7].

Theorem 1 shows that the analog of the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality (5) holds on a two dimensional disc with the same best constant 4π as in the classical Moser-Trudinger inequality (1). We call (3) or (4) a Hardy-Moser-Trudinger inequality. Moreover, we obtain also a Carleson-Chang type result, i.e., the best constant is achieved.

Theorem 2. *There exists $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|u_0\| = 1$ and*

$$\int_B e^{4\pi u_0^2} dx = \max_{u \in \mathcal{H}, \|u\| \leq 1} \int_B e^{4\pi u^2} dx = \max_{u \in \mathcal{H}\backslash\{0\}} \int_B e^{\frac{4\pi u^2}{H(u)}} dx.$$

Note that the supremum is not achieved in $H_0^1(B)$, see Remark 4 below.

We wonder if this kind of Hardy-Moser-Trudinger inequality holds for more general domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. For example, let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a regular, bounded and convex domain, then (see [8])

$$H_d(u) := \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \frac{1}{4}\int_B \frac{u^2}{d(x, \partial\Omega)^2} dx > 0, \quad \forall\, u \in H_0^1(\Omega)\backslash\{0\}.$$

We propose the following

Conjecture: *There is a constant $C(\Omega) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_\Omega e^{\frac{4\pi u^2}{H_d(u)}} dx \leq C(\Omega) < \infty, \quad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{H}_d(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Here $\mathcal{H}_d(\Omega)$ denotes the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ with the corresponding norm, defined by $\|u\|_{H_d}^2 = H_d(u)$. The conjecture is true when $\Omega = B$. This follows immediately from Theorem 1, since $H(u) \leq H_d(u)$ for any $u \in C_0^\infty(B)$.

There is another improved Moser-Trudinger inequality on the disc in $\mathbb{R}^2$, which was recently proved and studied in [24, 4].

$$\sup_{u\in H_0^1(B),\|\nabla u\|_2\le 1}\int_B \frac{e^{4\pi u^2}-1}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx<\infty. \tag{6}$$

For other generalizations of the classical Moser-Trudinger inequality (1), see for instance [2, 15, 20, 21]. See also [14, 29] for a Moser-Trudinger inequality in Kähler geometry. It would be interesting to know if there are such generalizations for our inequality (3). A generalization to a higher dimensional ball like in [22] and to higher order derivatives like in [1, 6, 17, 23] would be also very interesting. For the related higher order equations see also [18].

The proof of the Hardy-Moser-Trudinger inequality (3) is different from Moser's proof for (1). Here we use the blow-up analysis, which is an important tool in geometric analysis. A similar approach was also used in [10, 13, 2, 19, 21] to establish 2-dimensional inequalities. The blow-up analysis for the elliptic equation related to the classical Moser-Trudinger inequality (1) was initiated in [27, 3]. It would be an interesting question if there is a Moser-like proof for the Hardy-Moser-Trudinger inequality (3).

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we reduce our problem to radially nonincreasing functions and study the property of such functions with bounded $H(u)$. We also study Green's function to the operator $-\Delta-\frac{1}{(1-|x|^2)^2}$. In Section 3, we prove a subcritical Hardy-Moser-Trudinger inequality with constant $(4\pi-\varepsilon)$ for any constant $\varepsilon\in(0,4\pi)$. The subcritical Hardy-Moser-Trudinger inequality (14) is achieved by a function $u_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{H}$. In Section 4, we analyze the convergence of the sequence $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ as ε tends to 0, and its blow-up behavior. Finally, Theorems 1 and 2 are proved respectively in Section 5 and 6, by contradiction arguments.

In the following, $\|\cdot\|_p$ denotes the standard L^p norm for $p\in[1,\infty]$ and C denotes a generic positive constant, which could be changed from one line to another.

2. Preliminaries

First of all, we use the nonincreasing symmetrization with respect to the standard hyperbolic metric $dv_{\mathcal{H}}=\frac{dx}{(1-|x|^2)^2}$ over B, which will enable us to reduce the problem on radially symmetric functions.

For any $u\in H_0^1(B)$, let u_* denote the associated radially nonincreasing rearrangement with respect to $dv_{\mathcal{H}}$, it is well known that $u_*\in H_0^1(B)$ and $\|\nabla u_*\|_2\le\|\nabla u\|_2$ (see [5]). On the other hand,

$$\int_B\frac{u_*^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx=\int_B u_*^2dv_{\mathcal{H}}=\int_B u^2dv_{\mathcal{H}}=\int_B\frac{u^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx.$$

So $H(u)\le 1$ implies that $H(u_*)\le 1$. Furthermore,

$$\int_B e^{4\pi u_*^2}dx=\int_B e^{4\pi u_*^2}(1-|x|^2)^2dv_{\mathcal{H}}\ge\int_B e^{4\pi u^2}(1-|x|^2)^2dv_{\mathcal{H}}=\int_B e^{4\pi u^2}dx.$$

This estimate comes from the Hardy-Littlewood inequality (see for example [9]) by noticing that the rearrangement of $(1-|x|^2)^2$ is just itself. Therefore we only need to consider nonincreasing, radially symmetric functions. Let

$$\Sigma:=\Big\{u\in C_0^\infty(B),u(x)=u(r)\text{ with }r=|x|,\,u'\le 0\Big\}$$

and $\mathcal{H}_1$ be the closure of Σ in $\mathcal{H}$. To prove Theorem 1, it remains to show that

$$\sup_{u\in\mathcal{H}_1,\|u\|\le 1}\int_B e^{4\pi u^2}dx\le C_0<\infty. \tag{7}$$

Let $u \in \Sigma$, $r = \varphi(t) = \tanh\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)$ and

$$\widetilde{u}(t) := u(r) = u \circ \varphi(t).$$

Denote B_r the disk of radius r centered at 0 and $B_r^c = B \backslash B_r$ its compliment in B. Define also

$$H_\Omega(u) := \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_\Omega \frac{u^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx, \quad \forall\, \Omega \subset B.$$

It is easy to see that

$$H_{B_r^c}(u) = 2\pi \int_{t=\varphi^{-1}(r)}^{\infty} \left(\widetilde{u}'^2 - \frac{\widetilde{u}^2}{4}\right) \sinh(s) ds, \quad \forall\, r \in (0,1).$$

Set now $v(s) = e^{\frac{s}{2}} \widetilde{u}(s)$. Note that $v(s) = 0$ for large s. Integration by parts gives then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{H_{B_r^c}(u)}{2\pi} &= \int_t^\infty e^{-s}\left(v'^2 - vv'\right)\sinh(s) ds \\ &= \frac{v^2(t)}{2} e^{-t}\sinh(t) + \int_t^\infty e^{-2s} v^2 ds + \int_t^\infty e^{-s} v'^2 \sinh(s) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We obtain, by taking t tending to 0, i.e. $r \to 0$,

$$\frac{H(u)}{2\pi} = \int_0^\infty e^{-2s} v^2 ds + \int_0^\infty e^{-s} v'^2 \sinh(s) ds, \quad \forall\, u \in \Sigma.$$

Consequently, we have

Lemma 1. *$\mathcal{H}_1$ is embedded continuously in $H^1_{loc}(B) \cap C^{0,\frac{1}{2}}_{loc}(B \setminus \{0\})$. Moreover, for any $p \geq 1$, $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset L^p(B)$ and these embeddings are compact.*

Proof. Indeed, fix any $r \in (0,1)$, there holds that for all $u \in \Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} u^2 dx + \int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx &= 2\pi \int_0^{\varphi^{-1}(r)} \left[\widetilde{u}^2 \frac{1}{4\cosh^4(\frac{s}{2})} + \widetilde{u}'^2\right] \sinh(s) ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\varphi^{-1}(r)} \left(\widetilde{u}^2 + \widetilde{u}'^2\right) \sinh(s) ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\varphi^{-1}(r)} \left(v^2 e^{-s} + v'^2 e^{-s}\right) \sinh(s) ds \\ &\leq C_r \int_0^{\varphi^{-1}(r)} \left[v^2 e^{-2s} + v'^2 e^{-s}\sinh(s)\right] ds \\ &\leq C_r H(u). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Here the constants C_r depend only on $r \in (0,1)$. From above we have $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset H^1_{loc}(B)$. By the Sobolev embedding, we get

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \cap_{p\geq 1} L^p_{loc}(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}_1 \subset C^{0,\frac{1}{2}}_{loc}(B \setminus \{0\}).$$

Furthermore, for any $r \in (0,1)$, there exists $C_r > 0$ such that $u(r) \leq C_r H(u)$, $\forall\, u \in \mathcal{H}_1$. As $u \in \mathcal{H}_1$ is nonincreasing with respect to the radius, there holds that $\mathcal{H}_1$ is continuously embedded in $L^p(B)$ for any $p \geq 1$. Taking any bounded sequence $\{u_k\} \subset \mathcal{H}_1$, up to a subsequence we may assume that u_k converges to u weakly in $\mathcal{H}$ and a.e. in B. Finally we conclude by the following Lemma. ■

Lemma 2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be of finite measure. If a sequence of measurable functions w_k converges a.e. in Ω to w, and there exists $q > 1$ such that $\{w_k\}$ is bounded in $L^q(\Omega)$, then w_k converges to w in $L^1(\Omega)$.*

Remark 1. *Using the symmetrization argument, we can see that* $\mathcal{H}$ *is embedded continuously in* $L^p(B)$ *for any* $p \in [1, \infty)$.

From (8) we have

$$\frac{H_{B_r^c}(u)}{2\pi} \geq \frac{v^2(t)}{2} e^{-t} \sinh(t) \geq 0, \quad \forall\, u \in \Sigma,\ r = \tanh\left(\frac{t}{2}\right),\ t > 0.$$

Hence $H_{B_r}(u) \leq H(u)$ for any $u \in \Sigma$ and $r \in (0, 1)$. Pay attention that $H_{B_r^c}(u) \leq H(u)$ is in general not true. Since $\sinh(t) = \frac{2r}{1-r^2}$, the above implies

Lemma 3. *For any* $u \in \mathcal{H}_1$

$$u^2(r) \leq \frac{1-r^2}{2\pi r} H_{B_r^c}(u), \quad \forall\, r \in (0, 1]. \tag{10}$$

Another crucial point in our approach is to handle the Hardy operator

$$\mathcal{L}_H = -\Delta - \frac{1}{(1-|x|^2)^2}$$

The problem is not trivial because we cannot apply directly the classical theory to $\mathcal{H}$ due to the potential which is singular on the boundary. Our idea is to separate the study into two parts, use the classical theory near the origin, then the L^2 theory in $\mathcal{H}$ for the exterior part.

Proposition 1. *For any* $f \in L^1(B) \cap L^2(B^c_{\frac{1}{8}})$, *there exists a unique*

$$v \in \mathcal{H} + W_0^{1,p}(B_{\frac{1}{2}}) \ \ \text{with } p \in (1, 2)$$

such that

$$\mathcal{L}_H(v) = -\Delta v - \frac{v}{(1-|x|^2)^2} = f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B). \tag{11}$$

Moreover, we can decompose $v = v_1 + v_2$ *where* $v_1 \in \mathcal{H}$, $v_2 \in \cap_{p<2} W_0^{1,p}(B_{\frac{1}{2}})$ *and*

$$\|v_1\| + \|\nabla v_2\|_p \leq C_p \|f\|_1 + C\|f\|_{L^2(B^c_{\frac{1}{8}})} \quad \forall\, p \in (1, 2).$$

Remark 2. *Of course, the decomposition* (v_1, v_2) *is not unique, however the solution* v *is uniquely determined. There exist some interesting works for* L^2 *theory with more general singular potentials, see for example* [12].

Proof. To simplify notations, define $\Omega_1 = B^c_{\frac{1}{8}}$, $\Omega_2 = B_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$a(x) = \frac{1}{(1-|x|^2)^2}.$$

For the uniqueness of v, we need only to consider the case $f = 0$. Let $v = v_1 + v_2$ satisfy $\mathcal{L}_H(v) = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(B)$ with $v_1 \in \mathcal{H}$, $v_2 \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_2)$ and $p > 1$. We have

$$\langle v_1, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq C\|\varphi\| \leq C\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega_2)}, \quad \forall\, \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_2).$$

By equation, it implies that $-\Delta v_2 - a(x)v_2 \in H^{-1}(\Omega_2)$, the dual space of $H_0^1(\Omega_2)$. Given any $u \in H_0^1(\Omega_2)$, let $w(x) = u(\frac{x}{2}) \in H_0^1(B)$. Using the monotonicity of a, we have

$$0 \leq H(w) \leq \int_{\Omega_2} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_B a(x) w^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega_2} |\nabla u|^2 dx - 4\int_{\Omega_2} a(x) u^2 dx,$$

and hence $H_{\Omega_2}(u) \geq \frac{3}{4}\|\nabla u\|_2^2$ for all $u \in H_0^1(\Omega_2)$. Therefore the operator $\mathcal{L}_H$ is coercive in $H_0^1(\Omega_2)$ and the classical regularity theory implies that v_2 belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega_2) \subset H_0^1(B) \subset \mathcal{H}$. Finally $v = v_1 + v_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ verifies $\langle v, \varphi \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B)$. By density argument, $v = 0$, namely there is at most one solution.

For the existence of solutions to (11) with $f \in L^1(B) \cap L^2(\Omega_1)$, consider first

$$\mathcal{L}_H(w) = f \ \text{ in } \Omega_2, \quad w = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Omega_2.$$

From the standard elliptic theory, there exists a unique solution $w \in \cap_{p<2} W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_2)$ and $\|\nabla w\|_p \le C_p \|f\|_1$. Choose $\Psi \in \Sigma$ a cut-off function such that $\Psi(r) = 1$ for $r \le \frac{1}{8}$ and $\Psi(r) = 0$ for $r \ge \frac{1}{4}$. It is easy to check that

$$\mathcal{L}_H((1-\Psi)w) = (1-\Psi)f + 2\nabla w \nabla \Psi + w\Delta\Psi =: f_1 \ \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega_2)$$

with $f_1 \in L^p(\Omega_2)$, $\forall\, p \in (1,2)$. Thus we get $(1-\Psi)w \in W^{2,p}(\Omega_2)$, $\forall\, p \in (1,2)$. In particular, applying the Sobolev embedding, w extended by 0 lies in $W^{1,q}(B^c_{\frac{1}{4}})$ for all $q > 1$.

Define now $\Psi_1(x) = \Psi(2x)$ and $h = (1-\Psi_1)f + 2\nabla w \nabla \Psi_1 + w\Delta\Psi_1$. There holds $h \in L^2(B)$, hence $\|h\varphi\|_1 \le \|h\|_2\|\varphi\|_2 \le C\|h\|_2\|\varphi\|$ using (2) or Remark 1. By the Riesz Theorem, there exists unique $v_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\langle v_1, \varphi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_B h\varphi dx, \quad \forall\, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Clearly

$$\|v_1\| \le C\|h\|_2 \le C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)} + C_p\|(1-\Psi)w\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega_2)} \le C_p\|f\|_1 + C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}.$$

Finally, let $v_2 = w\Psi_1$, we check readily that $v = v_1 + v_2$ is the desired solution. ■

Using this result, we define a Green's function associated to the operator $\mathcal{L}_H$.

Proposition 2. *There exists a unique function* $G_0 \in \mathcal{H} + W_0^{1,p}(B_{\frac{1}{2}})$ *with* $p \in [1,2)$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_H(G_0) = \delta_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B) \tag{12}$$

where δ_0 *stands for the Dirac distribution at* 0. *Moreover,* G_0 *is a radial function and there is a constant* $C_G \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that for any* $\alpha \in (0,1)$,

$$G_0(r) = -\frac{\ln r}{2\pi} + C_G + O\left(r^{1+\alpha}\right) \quad \text{as } r \to 0, \tag{13}$$

Proof. With a similar idea as above, let

$$G_2(r) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\Psi(r)\ln(r), \quad F(r) = -\frac{\ln r}{2\pi(1-r^2)^2}\Psi - \frac{\Psi'}{\pi r} - \frac{\ln r}{2\pi}\Delta\Psi.$$

Here Ψ is the same cut-off function as in the previous proof. It is clear that $F \in L^2(B)$. Denote G_1 the unique solution in $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\langle G_1, \varphi\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \int_B F\varphi dx, \quad \forall\, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Clearly, $G_0 = G_2 + G_1$ satisfies equation (12). The uniqueness of G_0 is ensured by Proposition 1, which implies then G_0 is radial. For the expansion, since F belongs to $L^p(B)$ for any $p > 1$, the standard elliptic theory yields that $G_1 \in W^{2,p}_{loc}(B) \subset C^{1,\alpha}_{loc}(B)$ for any $\alpha \in (0,1)$. ■

Remark 3. *As* $(1-\Psi)\frac{\ln r}{2\pi} \in H^1_0(B)$, *we have* $G_0(r) = -\frac{\ln r}{2\pi} + \overline{G}(r)$ *in* B, *with* $\overline{G} \in \mathcal{H}$.

3. A WEAKER HARDY-MOSER-TRUDINGER INEQUALITY

In this section we prove a weaker form of the Hardy-Moser-Trudinger inequality, or its subcritical version, which will be used in our proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *For any constant* $\varepsilon \in (0, 4\pi)$, *it holds*

$$\sup_{u \in \mathcal{H}_1, \|u\| \le 1} \int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u^2} dx < \infty. \tag{14}$$

and the supremum is achieved by some $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}_1$.

Define

$$A_u(r) = \frac{1}{\pi r^2}\int_{B_r}\frac{u^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx.$$

We estimate $A_u(r)$ for general $u \in \mathcal{H}_1$.

Lemma 4. *Let $u \in \mathcal{H}_1$ and $r \in (0,1)$, we have*

$$\pi\left(\frac{1}{2}-r^2\right)A_u(r) \le H(u) + \frac{\pi u(r)^2}{1-r^2}.$$

Proof. By an elementary inequality

$$(u-b)^2 + b^2 \ge \frac{u^2}{2}, \quad \forall\, u, b \in \mathbb{R},$$

we deduce, for any $r \in (0,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{r^2}\int_{B_r}\frac{(u-b)^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx &\ge \frac{1}{2r^2}\int_{B_r}\frac{u^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx - \frac{1}{r^2}\int_{B_r}\frac{b^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx\\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}A_u(r) - \frac{\pi b^2}{1-r^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying the above to $w(x) = u(rx) - u(r) \in H_0^1(B)$ and $b = u(r)$, we get

$$\int_B \frac{w^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx \ge \int_{B_r}\frac{(u-u(r))^2}{r^2(1-|x|^2)^2}dx \ge \frac{\pi}{2}A_u(r) - \frac{\pi u(r)^2}{1-r^2}.$$

It follows that, together with the Hardy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}0 \le H(w) = \int_{B_r}|\nabla u|^2dx - \int_B\frac{w^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx &\le \int_{B_r}|\nabla u|^2dx - \frac{\pi}{2}A_u(r) + \frac{\pi u(r)^2}{1-r^2}\\ &= H_{B_r}(u) + \pi r^2 A_u(r) - \frac{\pi}{2}A_u(r) + \frac{\pi u(r)^2}{1-r^2}\\ &\le H(u) + \frac{\pi u(r)^2}{1-r^2} - \pi\left(\frac{1}{2}-r^2\right)A_u(r).\end{aligned}$$

which is just the conclusion. ■

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $u \in \Sigma$ with $H(u) \le 1$ satisfy

$$\int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u^2}dx \ge \sup_{w\in\mathcal{H}_1, \|u\|\le 1}\int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)w^2}dx - 1.$$

Assume now $u(0) > 1$, otherwise $\|e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u^2}\|_1 \le \pi e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)}$ and (14) holds true. Define

$$r_1 = \inf\{r > 0 \mid u(r) \le 1\} > 0.$$

Since $u(r_1) = 1$, we have a upper bound of r_1, $r_1 \le \frac{1}{2\pi}$ by (10). From Lemma 4 and the fact $u(r) \ge 1$ for $r \le r_1$, there exists $C > 0$ independent of u such that $A_u(r) \le Cu(r)^2$, $\forall\, r \in (0, r_1]$. Using again the estimate (10), we have, for any $r \le r_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r}|\nabla u|^2dx = H_{B_r}(u) + \pi r^2 A_u(r) &\le 1 - H_{B_r^c}(u) + C\pi r^2 u(r)^2\\ &\le 1 - H_{B_r^c}(u) + \frac{C}{2}rH_{B_r^c}(u).\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Therefore, there exists $r_2 \in (0, r_1]$ small enough, independent of u, such that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_{r_2})} \le 1.$$

Thanks to the Moser-Trudinger inequality (1),

$$\int_{B_{r_2}} e^{4\pi[u(r)-u(r_2)]^2}dx = \int_B e^{4\pi[u(r)-u(r_2)]_+^2}dx \le C_{MT} < \infty.$$

In thefollowing, we denote $f_+ = \max\{f, 0\}$. Moreover, by Lemma 3 and $H(u) \le 1$, it holds $u(r_2) \le C$ for some constant $C > 0$ independent of u. Hence we have that for any $r \le r_2$,

$$(4\pi - \varepsilon)u(r)^2 \le 4\pi\big[u(r) - u(r_2)\big]^2 + 8\pi u(r)u(r_2) - \varepsilon u(r)^2 \le 4\pi\big[u(r) - u(r_2)\big]^2 + C_\varepsilon.$$

Here C_ε depends only on ε. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u^2}dx &= \int_{B_{r_2}} e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u^2}dx + \int_{B_{r_2}^c} e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u^2}dx\\ &\le \int_{B_{r_2}} e^{4\pi[u-u(r_2)]^2+C_\varepsilon}dx + \pi(1-r_2^2)e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u(r_2)^2}\\ &\le e^{C_\varepsilon}C_{MT} + \pi e^{4\pi C} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

The proof of inequality (14) is completed.

Now we show the achievement of the supremum. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, consider a maximizing sequence $u_j \in \mathcal{H}_1$ for (14) with $\|u_j\| \le 1$. Recall that $\|\cdot\|$ is the norm in $\mathcal{H}$. Up to a subsequence, we can assume that u_j converges weakly in $\mathcal{H}$ to $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}_1$, so $\|u_\varepsilon\| \le 1$. By Lemma 1, we can assume also that u_j converges to u_ε a.e. in B.

Using (14) with $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, we see that $e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_j^2}$ is bounded in $L^q(B)$ for some $q > 1$. Lemma 2 implies then $e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_j^2}$ converges in $L^1(B)$, that is,

$$\int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx = \lim_{j\to\infty}\int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_j^2}dx,$$

and hence the supermum of (14) is attained by u_ε. Clearly we must have $\|u_\varepsilon\| = 1$. ■

4. BLOW-UP ANALYSIS

For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 4\pi)$, let u_ε realize the maximum obtained by Theorem 3. In this section we consider the convergence of the sequence $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ when ε goes to zero, or more precisely, we try to understand the behavior of $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ if $\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty$ explodes.

Suppose that $\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty = u_\varepsilon(0)$ does not go to infinity as ε tends to 0, then there exists $\varepsilon_j \to 0$ such that $\|u_{\varepsilon_j}\|_\infty \le C$. It is easy to see that in this case, up to a subsequence u_{ε_j} converges weakly to $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ in $\mathcal{H}$ and a.e. in B, so $\|u_0\| \le 1$ and $u_0 \in L^\infty(B)$. Let $w \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|w\| \le 1$,

$$\int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon_j)w^2}dx \le \int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon_j)u_{\varepsilon_j}^2}dx, \quad \text{for any } \ j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Applying respectively monotone and dominated convergence, it holds

$$\int_B e^{4\pi w^2}dx = \lim_{j\to\infty}\int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon_j)w^2}dx \le \lim_{j\to\infty}\int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon_j)u_{\varepsilon_j}^2}dx = \int_B e^{4\pi u_0^2}dx < \infty.$$

In other words, u_0 realizes the finite maximum of the Hardy-Moser-Trudinger functional, therefore both Theorem 2 and 1 are proved in this case.

In the following, we will suppose the contrary, i.e. $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty = \infty$ and perform a blow-up analysis as in [2, 21]. Since $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}_1$ is a maximizer, there exists $\lambda_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_H(u_\varepsilon) = -\Delta u_\varepsilon - \frac{u_\varepsilon}{(1-|x|^2)^2} = \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B). \tag{16}$$

Using Lemma 1 and (14), $\Delta u_\varepsilon \in L^q_{loc}(B)$ for some $q \in (1, 2)$, we get $u_\varepsilon \in W^{2,q}_{loc}(B)$ using the standard regularity theory. By the Sobolev embedding in dimension two, u_ε is continuous in B, and hence $u_\varepsilon \in C(\overline{B})$. Here the continuity up to ∂B follows from $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}_1$.

Indeed, u_ε is the so called $\mathcal{H}-$solution of (16) over B, in the spirit of Dávila and Dupaigne [12]. Using u_ε as a test function, we have

$$\lambda_\varepsilon \int_B u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx = \|u_\varepsilon\|^2 = 1. \tag{17}$$

Remark 4. *Notice that u_ε does not belong to $H_0^1(B)$. This is due to Theorem III in* [8], *because $u_\varepsilon \in C(\overline{B})$ and*

$$a(x) - \frac{1}{4d(x,\partial B)^2} = \frac{1}{(1-r^2)^2} - \frac{1}{4(1-r)^2} = \frac{1}{1-r} \times \frac{(3+r)}{4(1+r)^2} = O\left(d(x,\partial B)^{-1}\right).$$

Suppose that Theorem 1 does not hold true, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx = \infty = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty. \tag{18}$$

Since $\|u_\varepsilon\| = 1$, there exist weakly convergent subsequences in $\mathcal{H}$. Note that from now on, for simplicity, we do not distinguish always between convergence and subconvergence. Assume $u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ weakly in $\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 5. *We have $u_0 \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary, then there is $r_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that $u_0(r_0) > 0$.

By Lemma 1, u_ε tends to u_0 in $C_{loc}(B\backslash\{0\})$ (since the embedding of $C^{0,\frac{1}{2}}$ into C^0 is compact), hence $u_\varepsilon(r_0) \geq \delta > 0$ for ε small enough. Using Lemma 4, when ε is small enough, $A_{u_\varepsilon}(r) \leq Cu_\varepsilon(r)^2$ for any $r \leq r_0$, because $u_\varepsilon(r) \geq u_\varepsilon(r_0) \geq \delta$ and $H(u_\varepsilon) = 1$. Hence

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx = 1 - H_{B_r^c}(u_\varepsilon) + \pi r^2 A_{u_\varepsilon}(r) \leq 1 - \frac{2\pi r}{1-r^2} u_\varepsilon(r)^2 + Cr^2 u_\varepsilon(r)^2, \quad \forall\, r \leq r_0.$$

There exists then $r_1 \in (0, r_0)$ and $\eta > 0$ such that for ε small, $\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_{r_1})} \leq 1 - \eta < 1$. By the Moser-Trudinger inequality (1),

$$\int_{B_{r_1}} e^{\frac{4\pi}{1-\eta}(u_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon)^2} dx \leq C_{MT}, \quad \text{where } b_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(r_1).$$

Similarly as in the proof for (14), using $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} b_\varepsilon = u_0(r_1) < \infty$, we can conclude that $\|e^{4\pi u_\varepsilon^2}\|_1 \leq C < \infty$ for small enough ε, but this contradicts (18). ■

Applying Lemma 5 and Lemma 1, $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}_1$ converges uniformly to 0 in $\overline{B_r^c}$ for $r > 0$. Thus we will concentrate now our attention on the behavior of u_ε near the origin. Define

$$M_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon(0) = \max u_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad r_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{e^{(\varepsilon-4\pi)M_\varepsilon^2}}{\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2}. \tag{19}$$

Using (14),

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_\varepsilon^{-1} = \int_B u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx \leq \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}} u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx + C &\leq M_\varepsilon^2 e^{(2\pi-\varepsilon)M_\varepsilon^2} \int_B e^{2\pi u_\varepsilon^2} dx + C \\ &\leq M_\varepsilon^2 e^{(2\pi-\varepsilon)M_\varepsilon^2} \sup_{\|u\|\leq 1} \int_B e^{2\pi u^2} dx + C \\ &\leq CM_\varepsilon^2 e^{(2\pi-\varepsilon)M_\varepsilon^2} + C.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently (recall that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} M_\varepsilon = \infty$)

$$r_\varepsilon^2 M_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{e^{(\varepsilon-4\pi)M_\varepsilon^2}}{\lambda_\varepsilon} \leq CM_\varepsilon^2 e^{-2\pi M_\varepsilon^2},$$

hence $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} r_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon = 0$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} r_\varepsilon = 0$. Define $v_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(r_\varepsilon x)$ and $\xi_\varepsilon(x) = M_\varepsilon\big[v_\varepsilon(x) - M_\varepsilon\big]$, a direct calculation leads to

$$-\Delta\xi_\varepsilon = \frac{v_\varepsilon}{M_\varepsilon}e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)(v_\varepsilon^2-M_\varepsilon^2)} + \frac{r_\varepsilon^2 M_\varepsilon^2}{(1-r_\varepsilon^2|x|^2)^2}\frac{v_\varepsilon}{M_\varepsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B_{r_\varepsilon^{-1}}). \tag{20}$$

For any $R > 0$, $-\Delta\xi_\varepsilon = O(1)$ in B_R for small ε, since $0 \le v_\varepsilon \le M_\varepsilon$. By $\xi_\varepsilon(0) = 0$, the standard elliptic estimate implies that ξ_ε converges in $C^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to ξ. Therefore

$$v_\varepsilon - M_\varepsilon = \frac{\xi_\varepsilon}{M_\varepsilon} \to 0, \quad \frac{v_\varepsilon}{M_\varepsilon} \to 1 \quad \text{and} \quad v_\varepsilon^2 - M_\varepsilon^2 = 2\xi_\varepsilon + \frac{\xi_\varepsilon^2}{M_\varepsilon^2} \to 2\xi \quad \text{in } C^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{21}$$

Passing the limit $\varepsilon \to 0$ in (20), the equation verified by $\xi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is

$$-\Delta\xi = e^{8\pi\xi} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{22}$$

Combining with the facts $\xi(0) = 0$, ξ is radially symmetric and nonincreasing with respect to r, ξ is uniquely determined,

$$\xi(x) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\ln(1+\pi r^2), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{8\pi\xi}dx = 1. \tag{23}$$

Note that all solutions of (22) with $e^{8\pi\xi} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ were classified in [11].

The above analysis is for understanding the behavior of the sequence $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ near the blow-up point 0, more precisely in $B_{r_\varepsilon R}$ for any large, but fixed $R > 0$. Let $L > 1$ and $R > 0$ large. We divide the disc B into three parts: the interior part $B_{r_\varepsilon R}$, the outer part

$$\{Lu_\varepsilon \le M_\varepsilon\} := \left\{x \in B \,|\, u_\varepsilon(x) \le \frac{M_\varepsilon}{L}\right\}$$

and the neck region

$$\{Lu_\varepsilon \ge M_\varepsilon\} \setminus B_{r_\varepsilon R} := \left\{x \in B\backslash B_{r_\varepsilon R} \,|\, u_\varepsilon(x) \ge \frac{M_\varepsilon}{L}\right\}.$$

To analyze $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ in the outer part and the neck region, let us denote $u_{\varepsilon,L} = \min(u_\varepsilon, \frac{M_\varepsilon}{L})$. We have

Lemma 6. *For any $L > 1$, $\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} H(u_{\varepsilon,L}) \le L^{-1}$.*

Proof. Consider $\zeta_{\varepsilon,L} = u_\varepsilon - u_{\varepsilon,L} = \big(u_\varepsilon - \frac{M_\varepsilon}{L}\big)_+$. Fix $R > 0$. Using $\zeta_{\varepsilon,L}$ as a test function to equation (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B |\nabla\zeta_{\varepsilon,L}|^2dx - \int_B \frac{u_\varepsilon\zeta_{\varepsilon,L}}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx &= \lambda_\varepsilon\int_B \zeta_{\varepsilon,L}u_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx \\
&\ge \lambda_\varepsilon\int_{B_{r_\varepsilon R}} \zeta_{\varepsilon,L}u_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx \\
&= \int_{B_R}\left(\frac{v_\varepsilon}{M_\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{L}\right)_+\frac{v_\varepsilon}{M_\varepsilon}e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)(v_\varepsilon^2-M_\varepsilon^2)}dx \\
&\to \left(1-\frac{1}{L}\right)\int_{B_R} e^{8\pi\xi}dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

when $\varepsilon \to 0$. Recall that r_ε is defined by (19), the convergence is ensured by (21). Moreover, one can check easily that

$$H(u_{\varepsilon,L}) = H(u_\varepsilon) - \int_B |\nabla\zeta_{\varepsilon,L}|^2dx + \int_B \frac{u_\varepsilon\zeta_{\varepsilon,L}}{(1-|x|^2)^2}dx,$$

which, taking $R \to \infty$, together with (24) and (23), completes the proof. ∎

Using our subcritical inequality (14) to functions $\frac{Lu_{\varepsilon,L}}{2}$, we get

$$\int_B e^{\pi L^2 u_{\varepsilon,L}^2} dx \le C < \infty, \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \text{ small enough.} \tag{25}$$

Furthermore,

Lemma 7. *We have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2 = 0 \tag{26}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon \int_B u_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx = 1. \tag{27}$$

Proof. Let us estimate firstly $\|e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}\|_1$. Fix $L > 2$.

$$I_\varepsilon := \int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon \le M_\varepsilon\}} e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx \le \int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon,L}^2} dx \to \pi, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

The convergence follows from the facts that $u_{\varepsilon,L} \to 0$ a.e. in B, the estimate (25) and Lemma 2. Using once again the uniform convergence of u_ε to zero in B_r^c for any $r \in (0,1)$,

$$\int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon \le M_\varepsilon\}} e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx \ge \int_{B_r^c} e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx \to \pi(1-r^2), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Taking $r \to 0$, we can claim that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} I_\varepsilon = \pi$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon := \int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon \ge M_\varepsilon\}} e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx &\le \frac{L^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2} \int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon \ge M_\varepsilon\}} \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx \\ &\le \frac{L^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2} \int_B \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx \\ &= \frac{L^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\infty = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} (I_\varepsilon + J_\varepsilon) \le \pi + \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{L^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2}, \tag{28}$$

which implies $\liminf_{\varepsilon\to 0} \lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2 = 0$. This argument is in fast valid for any subsequence. Hence we have (26).

To prove (27), we estimate the integral over three parts separately. First

$$\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon \int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon \le M_\varepsilon\}} u_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx \le \lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2 I_\varepsilon \to 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Moreover, for any $R > 0$,

$$\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon \int_{B_{r_\varepsilon R}} u_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx = \int_{B_R} \frac{v_\varepsilon}{M_\varepsilon} e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)(v_\varepsilon^2 - M_\varepsilon^2)} dx \to \int_{B_R} e^{8\pi\xi} dx,$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon \int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon \geq M_\varepsilon\}\backslash B_{r_\varepsilon R}} u_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx &\leq L\int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon \geq M_\varepsilon\}\backslash B_{r_\varepsilon R}} \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx\\ &\leq L\int_{B\backslash B_{r_\varepsilon R}} \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx\\ &= L - L\int_{B_{r_\varepsilon R}} \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx\\ &\to L\left(1-\int_{B_R} e^{8\pi\xi}dx\right).\end{aligned}$$

The proof of (27) is completed by tending R to ∞. ■

Let $g_\varepsilon = M_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon$, so g_ε satisfies the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_H(g_\varepsilon) = \lambda_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(B). \tag{29}$$

(27) and its proof shows that $\lambda_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}$ converges to the Dirac operator δ_0 in $\mathcal{D}'(B)$, this suggests then g_ε should tend to the corresponding Green's function G_0, which is confirmed as follows.

Proposition 3. *The family g_ε converges to G_0 in $W^{1,p}_{loc}(B)$ weakly if $p \in (1,2)$, strongly in $L^q(B)$ for all $q \geq 1$ and also in $C(\overline{B^c_r})$, $\forall\, r \in (0,1)$. Here G_0 is defined by Proposition 2.*

Proof. Using Proposition 1 on (29), as $g_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}$, there exist k_ε and h_ε such that $g_\varepsilon = h_\varepsilon + k_\varepsilon$ with $h_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}$, $k_\varepsilon \in \cap_{p<2} W_0^{1,p}(B_{\frac{1}{2}})$ satisfying for any $p \in (1,2)$,

$$\|h_\varepsilon\| + \|\nabla k_\varepsilon\|_p \leq C_p\|\lambda_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}\|_1 + C\|\lambda_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)} \quad \text{where } \Omega_1 = B^c_{\frac{1}{8}}.$$

As $u_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}$ tends to zero uniformly in Ω_1, so $\|h_\varepsilon\| + \|\nabla k_\varepsilon\|_p$ are uniformly bounded for ε small, thus we get (up to subsequence) that h_ε converges weakly to h_0 in $\mathcal{H}$ and k_ε converges weakly to k_0 in $W_0^{1,p}(B_{\frac{1}{2}})$ for $p \in (1,2)$.

Let $g_0 = h_0 + k_0 \in \mathcal{H} + W_0^{1,p}(B_{\frac{1}{2}})$, as $\lambda_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} \to \delta_0$, we have $\mathcal{L}_H(g_0) = \delta_0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(B)$. Applying Proposition 2, $g_0 = G_0$ and we obtain all the claimed convergence of g_ε to G_0. The convergence holds for the whole family g_ε since the analysis is valid for any subsequence. ■

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that Theorem 1 does not hold. Let $\rho \in (0,1)$ be small, which will be determined later. Thanks to Proposition 3, From the previous section, recalling that $a(x) = (1-|x|^2)^{-2}$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} M_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(\rho) = G_0(\rho), \quad \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{B_\rho} a(x)M_\varepsilon^2 u_\varepsilon^2 dx = \int_{B_\rho} a(x)G_0^2 dx =: J_1(\rho). \tag{30}$$

By equation (29),

$$H_{B^c_\rho}(g_\varepsilon) = H(g_\varepsilon) - H_{B_\rho}(g_\varepsilon) = \lambda_\varepsilon\int_{B^c_\rho} g_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx - \int_{\partial B_\rho}\frac{\partial g_\varepsilon}{\partial\nu}g_\varepsilon d\sigma.$$

Clearly as $\varepsilon \to 0$, the first term goes to 0. By (27) and (30),

$$\begin{aligned}-\int_{\partial B_\rho}\frac{\partial g_\varepsilon}{\partial\nu}g_\varepsilon d\sigma = -g_\varepsilon(\rho)\int_{B_\rho}\Delta g_\varepsilon dx &= g_\varepsilon(\rho)\left(\int_{B_\rho} a(x)g_\varepsilon dx + \lambda_\varepsilon\int_{B_\rho} g_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx\right)\\ &\to G_0(\rho)\left(\int_{B_\rho} a(x)G_0 dx + 1\right) =: J_2(\rho),\end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\int_{B_\rho} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx = 1 - H_{B_\rho^c}(u_\varepsilon) + \int_{B_\rho} a(x)u_\varepsilon^2 dx = 1 - \frac{1}{M_\varepsilon^2}\Big[J_2(\rho) - J_1(\rho) + o_\varepsilon(1)\Big] \tag{31}$$

where $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} o_\varepsilon(1) = 0$, for any fixed $\rho > 0$.

Furthermore, using the expansion of G_0, direct calculations show that

$$J_2(\rho) \sim -\frac{\ln\rho}{2\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad J_1(\rho) \sim \frac{\rho^2(\ln\rho)^2}{4\pi} \quad \text{as } \rho \to 0.$$

So there is $\rho > 0$ small enough such that $J_2(\rho) - J_1(\rho) > 0$. Fix such a ρ, the equality (31) implies that

$$\int_{B_\rho} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 dx < 1 \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \text{ small enough.}$$

Applying the classical Moser-Trudinger inequality (1) to $[u_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon(\rho)]_+ \in H_0^1(B)$, we get

$$\int_{B_\rho} e^{4\pi[u_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon(\rho)]^2} dx = \int_B e^{4\pi[u_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon(\rho)]_+^2} dx \le C_{MT}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$u_\varepsilon^2(r) = [u_\varepsilon(r) - u_\varepsilon(\rho)]^2 + 2u_\varepsilon(r)u_\varepsilon(\rho) - u_\varepsilon^2(r) \le [u_\varepsilon(r) - u_\varepsilon(\rho)]^2 + 2M_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(\rho).$$

Therefore, when ε tends to 0,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B e^{4\pi u_\varepsilon^2} dx = \int_{B_\rho} e^{4\pi u_\varepsilon^2} dx + \int_{B_\rho^c} e^{4\pi u_\varepsilon^2} dx &\le \int_{B_\rho} e^{4\pi[u_\varepsilon - u_\varepsilon(\rho)]^2 + 8\pi M_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(\rho)} dx + \pi e^{4\pi u_\varepsilon(\rho)^2}\\ &\le e^{8\pi g_\varepsilon(\rho)} C_{MT} + \pi e^{4\pi u_\varepsilon(\rho)^2}\\ &\to e^{8\pi G_0(\rho)} C_{MT} + \pi.\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts obviously the hypothesis (18), and hence the Hardy-Moser-Trudinger inequality must hold true. ■

6. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof of Thereom 2. Let u_ε be the maximizer given by Theorem 3. We will proceed still by a contradiction argument and borrow some ideas from [10, 2, 21]. Using the discussion at the end of Section 3, suppose that Theorem 2 was not valid, then $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty = \infty$.

Define

$$T_\varepsilon = \int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2} dx = \max_{u\in\mathcal{H}, \|u\|\le 1} \int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u^2} dx, \quad \forall\, \varepsilon \in (0, 4\pi).$$

Clearly, T_ε is increasing and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} T_\varepsilon = \sup_{u\in\mathcal{H}, \|u\|\le 1} \int_B e^{4\pi u^2} dx := T_0.$$

By Theorem 1, $T_0 < \infty$. Readily $T_0 > \pi$.

All arguments and properties obtained for u_ε in the previous section are true, except two points. One is the proof of the fact that the weak limit u_0 is 0 and another is the property (26).

For the former point one can argue as follows. Fixing $\rho \in (0, 1)$, we see that for any $L > 1$, $u_{\varepsilon,L} = u_\varepsilon$ in $\overline{B_\rho^c}$ for ε small enough, because u_ε is uniformly bounded in $\overline{B_\rho^c}$ by Lemma 1 and $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} M_\varepsilon = \infty$. It follows, together with Lemma 6,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(B_\rho^c)} = \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \|u_{\varepsilon,L}\|_{L^\infty(B_\rho^c)} \le C_\rho \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} H(u_{\varepsilon,L}) \le \frac{C_\rho}{L}.$$

Tend L to ∞, we have $u_0 = 0$ in B_ρ^c. Since $\rho > 0$ is arbitrary, thus $u_0 = 0$.

The latter is no longer true. In fact, now we have

Lemma 8. $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2 = (T_0-\pi)^{-1}$.

Proof. By the same argument as in the proof of (28), we get

$$T_0 = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} T_\varepsilon \leq \pi + \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{L^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2}, \quad \forall\, L > 2,$$

which implies that $\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2 < \infty$ since $T_0 > \pi$. Hence $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon = 0$. Let $p_1 = \frac{L^2}{4} > 1$ (as $L > 2$). The estimate (25) and $\|u_\varepsilon\|_q \to 0$ for any $q \geq 1$ imply that as $\varepsilon \to 0$,

$$\int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon \leq M_\varepsilon\}} u_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx \leq \|e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon,L}^2}\|_{p_1}\|u_\varepsilon\|_{q_1} \to 0 \quad \text{where } \frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{q_1} = 1.$$

The same argument shows that

$$\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon \int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon \leq M_\varepsilon\}} u_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx \to 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0$$

and (27) holds true, so Proposition 3 remains true. Let $\Omega_{\rho,r} = B_\rho \setminus \overline{B_r}$ with $0 < r < \rho < 1$, as $\mathcal{L}_H(G_0) = 0$ in $\Omega_{\rho,r} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, the Pohozaev identity shows that (recall that $a(x) = (1-|x|^2)^{-2}$)

$$\int_{\Omega_{\rho,r}} \frac{\operatorname{div}\big[a(x)x\big]}{2} G_0^2(x)dx - \pi\Big[s^2 G_0'^2(s) + a(s)s^2G_0^2(s)\Big]_r^\rho = 0.$$

Using the expansion (13) and tending $r \to 0$, we have

$$\int_{B_\rho} \frac{\operatorname{div}\big[a(x)x\big]}{2} G_0^2(x)dx - \pi\rho^2 G_0'^2(\rho) - \pi a(\rho)\rho^2 G_0^2(\rho) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}, \quad \forall\, \rho \in (0,1).$$

Similarly, applying the Pohozaev identity to $\mathcal{L}_H(u_\varepsilon) = \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}$ in B_ρ and multiplying by M_ε^2, we obtain that for any $\rho \in (0,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B_\rho} \frac{\operatorname{div}\big[a(x)x\big]}{2} g_\varepsilon^2(x)dx - \pi\rho^2 g_\varepsilon'^2(\rho) - \pi a(\rho)\rho^2 g_\varepsilon^2(\rho)\\ &= \lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2\left[\pi\rho^2\frac{e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon(\rho)^2}}{4\pi-\varepsilon} - \int_{B_\rho}\frac{e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}}{4\pi-\varepsilon}dx\right].\end{aligned}$$

Finally, as g_ε converges to G_0 in $C^1_{loc}(B\setminus\{0\})$ and $L^2(B)$ by standard elliptic theory and Proposition 3, we obtain, for any $\rho \in (0,1)$ (as $\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2 < \infty$),

$$\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2\left[\int_{B_\rho} e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx - \pi\rho^2\right] \to 1, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Taking $\rho \to 1$ and using the uniform convergence of u_ε to 0 in $\overline{B_r^c}$ for $r > 0$, we are done. ■

To get a contradiction, we proceed as in [10, 21]. We first claim a Carleson-Chang type result.

Lemma 9. *If* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty = \infty$*, then* $T_0 \leq \pi(1+e^{1+4\pi C_G})$ *where* C_G *is given by* (13).

Proof. Fix $L > 2$ and let $R > 0$. Using (25) and Lemma 2, we have the estimate for the exterior region as follows.

$$\int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon \leq M_\varepsilon\}} e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx \leq \int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon,L}^2}dx \to \pi, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

On the neck region, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon\ge M_\varepsilon\}\setminus B_{r_\varepsilon R}} e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx &\le \frac{L^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2}\int_{\{Lu_\varepsilon\ge M_\varepsilon\}\setminus B_{r_\varepsilon R}} \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon,L}^2}dx\\ &\le \frac{L^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2}\int_{B\setminus B_{r_\varepsilon R}} \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon,L}^2}dx\\ &= \frac{L^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon M_\varepsilon^2}\left(1-\int_{B_{r_\varepsilon R}} \lambda_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon^2 e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_{\varepsilon,L}^2}dx\right)\\ &\to L^2(T_0-\pi)\left(1-\int_{B_R} e^{8\pi\xi}dx\right).\end{aligned}$$

For the integral over the interior region $B_{r_\varepsilon R}$, fix a small constant $\rho\in(0,1)$. By (31), we know that

$$\int_{B_\rho}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2dx = 1-\frac{E_\rho+o_\varepsilon(1)}{M_\varepsilon^2}$$

where $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} o_\varepsilon(1)=0$ and

$$E_\rho := J_2(\rho)-J_1(\rho) = G_0(\rho)+G_0(\rho)\int_{B_\rho} a(x)G_0dx-\int_{B_\rho} a(x)G_0^2dx. \tag{32}$$

Let $\ell_\varepsilon(x)=\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|^{-1}_{L^2(B_\rho)}\big[u_\varepsilon(x)-u_\varepsilon(\rho)\big]_+$. Clearly, $\ell_\varepsilon\in H_0^1(B_\rho)$, $\|\nabla \ell_\varepsilon\|_2=1$ and ℓ_ε converges weakly to 0 in $\mathcal{D}'(B_\rho)$. Using a result of Carleson-Chang [10], there holds

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{B_\rho}\left(e^{4\pi\ell_\varepsilon^2}-1\right)dx\le \pi e\rho^2. \tag{33}$$

Moreover, $M_\varepsilon^{-1}\ell_\varepsilon\to 1$ uniformly in $B_{r_\varepsilon R}$ since $M_\varepsilon^{-1}u_\varepsilon\to 1$ uniformly in B_R by (21). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}u_\varepsilon^2(x) &= \big[\ell_\varepsilon(x)+u_\varepsilon(\rho)\big]^2\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|^2_{L^2(B_\rho)}\\ &= \big[\ell_\varepsilon(x)+M_\varepsilon^{-1}G_0(\rho)+o_\varepsilon(M_\varepsilon^{-1})\big]^2\times\big[1-M_\varepsilon^{-2}E_\rho+o_\varepsilon(M_\varepsilon^{-2})\big]\\ &= \ell_\varepsilon^2(x)+2\ell_\varepsilon(x)M_\varepsilon^{-1}G_0(\rho)-\ell_\varepsilon^2(x)M_\varepsilon^{-2}E_\rho+o_\varepsilon(1)\\ &= \ell_\varepsilon^2(x)+2G_0(\rho)-E_\rho+o_\varepsilon(1),\end{aligned}$$

where $o_\varepsilon(1)$ tends to 0 uniformly in $B_{r_\varepsilon R}$. It implies that, together with (33),

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{B_{r_\varepsilon R}} e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx &\le \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{B_{r_\varepsilon R}}\left(e^{4\pi u_\varepsilon^2}-1\right)dx\\ &\le e^{8\pi G_0(\rho)-4\pi E_\rho}\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{B_{r_\varepsilon R}}\left(e^{4\pi\ell_\varepsilon^2}-1\right)dx\\ &\le e^{8\pi G_0(\rho)-4\pi E_\rho}\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{B_\rho}\left(e^{4\pi\ell_\varepsilon^2}-1\right)dx\\ &\le \pi\rho^2 e^{1+8\pi G_0(\rho)-4\pi E_\rho}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the three parts of estimation and let R go to ∞, we conclude

$$T_0=\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_B e^{(4\pi-\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon^2}dx\le \pi+\pi\rho^2e^{1+8\pi G_0(\rho)-4\pi E_\rho},\quad \text{for any small } \rho>0.$$

Using the expansion (13),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\ln\rho+2G_0(\rho)-E_\rho\to C_G,\quad \text{as } \rho\to 0.$$

Hence it follows $T_0\le \pi(1+e^{1+4\pi C_G})$. ■

We complete the proof of Theorem 2 with the following lower bound estimate, which contradicts Lemma 9.

Lemma 10. *There holds* $T_0 > \pi(1 + e^{1+4\pi C_G})$.

Proof. The proof is a direct verification by choosing suitable test functions as in [10]. Thanks to the blow-up analysis, we will consider a family f_ε such that f_ε looks like $M_\varepsilon^{-1} G_0$ outside a very small region of 0 and $M_\varepsilon^{-1}\xi(r_\varepsilon^{-1}x) + M_\varepsilon$ near the origin where ξ is given by (23). For $\varepsilon > 0$ small, define

$$f_\varepsilon(r) = \begin{cases} \beta_\varepsilon + \dfrac{\xi(\varepsilon^{-1}r) + \gamma_\varepsilon}{\beta_\varepsilon} & \text{if } r \le \varepsilon R_\varepsilon \\ \dfrac{G_0(r)}{\beta_\varepsilon} & \text{if } \varepsilon R_\varepsilon \le r \le 1 \end{cases} \qquad \text{with } \ R_\varepsilon = -\ln\varepsilon.$$

Here β_ε and γ_ε are constants to be chosen later. First, choose γ_ε such that

$$\frac{G_0(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon)}{\beta_\varepsilon} = \beta_\varepsilon + \frac{\xi(R_\varepsilon) + \gamma_\varepsilon}{\beta_\varepsilon},$$

which makes functions f_ε continuous. Using the expansion of G_0, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi\left(\beta_\varepsilon^2 + \gamma_\varepsilon\right) &= -2\ln(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon) + 4\pi C_G + \ln(1 + \pi R_\varepsilon^2) + o(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon) \\ &= -2\ln\varepsilon + 4\pi C_G + \ln\pi + O\left(R_\varepsilon^{-2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Clearly, $f_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{H}$. Now we estimate $\|f_\varepsilon\|$. Let $0 < r < \rho < 1$, by the equation of G_0,

$$H_{B_\rho \backslash B_r}(G_0) = \int_{\partial(B_\rho\backslash B_r)} G_0 \frac{\partial G_0}{\partial \nu} d\sigma = -2\pi r G_0(r) G_0'(r) + 2\pi\rho G_0(\rho) G_0'(\rho) \le -2\pi r G_0(r) G_0'(r),$$

since G_0 is decreasing by the comparison principle. Taking $\rho \to 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_{B^c_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}}(f_\varepsilon) = \frac{H_{B^c_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}}(G_0)}{\beta_\varepsilon^2} &\le -\frac{2\pi\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}{\beta_\varepsilon^2} G_0(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon) G_0'(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon) \\ &= -\frac{2\pi\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}{\beta_\varepsilon^2} \int_{\partial B_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}} \frac{\partial G_0}{\partial\nu} d\sigma \\ &= \frac{G_0(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon)}{\beta_\varepsilon^2} \left(1 + \int_{B_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}} a(x) G_0 dx\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi\beta_\varepsilon^2}\big[-2\ln(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon) + 4\pi C_G + o(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon)\big]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^2 dx = \frac{1}{\beta_\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}} |\nabla \xi(\varepsilon^{-1}x)|^2 dx &= \frac{1}{\beta_\varepsilon^2} \int_{B_R} |\nabla\xi|^2 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi\beta_\varepsilon^2}\left[\ln(1 + \pi R_\varepsilon^2) - 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \pi R_\varepsilon^2}\right], \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$H(f_\varepsilon) \le H_{B^c_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}}(f_\varepsilon) + \int_{B_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^2 dx \le \frac{1}{4\pi\beta_\varepsilon^2}\left[-2\ln\varepsilon + 4\pi C_G - 1 + \ln\pi + O\left(R_\varepsilon^{-2}\right)\right]. \tag{35}$$

We choose $\beta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $H(f_\varepsilon) = 1$, the estimate (35) leads to (recall that $R_\varepsilon = -\ln\varepsilon$)

$$4\pi\beta_\varepsilon^2 \le -2\ln\varepsilon + 4\pi C_G - 1 + \ln\pi + O\left(R_\varepsilon^{-2}\right) = O\left(|\ln\varepsilon|\right), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

From (34), it follows

$$4\pi\gamma_\varepsilon \ge 1 + O\left(R_\varepsilon^{-2}\right), \quad \beta_\varepsilon = O\left(|\ln\varepsilon|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{36}$$

Now we estimate $\|e^{4\pi f_\varepsilon^2}\|_1$. Using $e^t \geq 1+t$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and (36), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B^c_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}} e^{4\pi f_\varepsilon^2}dx \geq \pi - \pi(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon)^2 + \frac{4\pi}{\beta_\varepsilon^2}\int_{B^c_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}} G_0^2 dx &= \pi + \frac{4\pi}{\beta_\varepsilon^2}\left[\int_B G_0^2 dx + o\left(\varepsilon R_\varepsilon\right) - \frac{\varepsilon^2 R_\varepsilon^2 \beta_\varepsilon^2}{4}\right] \\ &= \pi + \frac{4\pi}{\beta_\varepsilon^2}\left[\int_B G_0^2 dx + o_\varepsilon(1)\right].\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, in $B_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}$, by (34) and (36) we have

$$\begin{aligned}4\pi f_\varepsilon^2(r) = 4\pi\left(\beta_\varepsilon + \frac{\xi(\varepsilon^{-1}r)+\gamma_\varepsilon}{\beta_\varepsilon}\right)^2 &\geq 4\pi\beta_\varepsilon^2 + 8\pi\gamma_\varepsilon + 8\pi\xi(\varepsilon^{-1}r) \\ &= 4\pi(\beta_\varepsilon^2+\gamma_\varepsilon) + 4\pi\gamma_\varepsilon + 8\pi\xi(\varepsilon^{-1}r) \\ &\geq -2\ln\varepsilon + 4\pi C_G + \ln\pi + 1 + 8\pi\xi(\varepsilon^{-1}r) + O\left(R_\varepsilon^{-2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The estimate is uniform in $B_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}} e^{4\pi f_\varepsilon^2}dx &\geq e^{-2\ln\varepsilon+4\pi C_G+\ln\pi+1+O\left(R_\varepsilon^{-2}\right)}\int_{B_{\varepsilon R_\varepsilon}} e^{8\pi\xi(\varepsilon^{-1}r)}dx \\ &= \pi e^{4\pi C_G+1+O\left(R_\varepsilon^{-2}\right)}\int_{B_{R_\varepsilon}} e^{8\pi\xi}dx \\ &= \pi e^{4\pi C_G+1}\left[1+O\left(R_\varepsilon^{-2}\right)\right]\end{aligned}$$

where (23) was used in the last equality. Finally as $R_\varepsilon^{-2}\beta_\varepsilon^2 = o_\varepsilon(1)$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}\int_B e^{4\pi f_\varepsilon^2}dx &\geq \pi + \frac{4\pi}{\beta_\varepsilon^2}\left[\int_B G_0^2 dx + o_\varepsilon(1)\right] + \pi e^{4\pi C_G+1}\left[1+O\left(R_\varepsilon^{-2}\right)\right] \\ &= \pi + \pi e^{4\pi C_G+1} + \frac{4\pi}{\beta_\varepsilon^2}\left[\int_B G_0^2 dx + o_\varepsilon(1)\right].\end{aligned}$$

By choosing a small $\varepsilon > 0$, we conclude readily $T_0 \geq \|e^{4\pi f_\varepsilon^2}\|_1 > \pi + \pi e^{4\pi C_G+1}$ Hence we finish the proof of Lemma 10, and hence the proof of Theorem 2. ■

Remark 5. *Like u_ε, the maximizer $u_0 \in \mathcal{H}_1$ given by Theorem 2 cannot belong to $H_0^1(B)$.*

References

[1] Adams D., *A sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives*, Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), 385-398.

[2] Adimurthi and Druet O., *Blow-up analysis in dimension 2 and a sharp form of Trudinger-Moser inequality*, Comm. P.D.E. **29** (2004), 295-322.

[3] Adimurthi and Struwe M., *Global compactness properties of semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponential growth*, J. Funct. Anal. **175** (2000), 125-167.

[4] Adimurthi and Tintarev K., *On a version of Trudinger-Moser inequality with Möbius shift invariance*, Calc. Var. P.D.E. **39** (2010), 203-212.

[5] Baernstein A., *A unified approach to symmetrization*, Partial differential equations of elliptic type (Cortona, 1992), Sympos. Math. **XXXV** (1994), 47-91.

[6] Beckner W., *Sharp Sobolev inequalities on the sphere and the Moser-Trudinger inequality*, Ann. of Math. **138** (1993), 213–242.

[7] Benguria, R.D., Frank, R.L. and Loss M., *The sharp constant in the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality in the three dimensional upper half-space*, Math. Res. Lett. **15** (2008), 613–622.

[8] Brezis H. and Marcus M., *Hardy's inequality revisted*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Pisa **25** (1997), 217-237.

[9] Brock F., *A general rearrangement inequality à la Hardy-Littlewood*, J. lnequal. Appl., **5** (2000), 309-320.

[10] Carleson L. and Chang S.-Y.A., *On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser*, Bull. Sci. Math. **110** (1986), 113–127.

[11] Chen W. and Li C., *Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 615-622.

[12] Dávila J. and Dupaigne L., *Comparison results for PDE's with a singular potential*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, **133A** (2003), 61-83.

[13] Ding W., Li J., Jost J. and Wang G., *The differential equation* $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$ *on a compact Riemann surface,* Asian J. Math. **1** (1997) 230-248.

[14] Ding W. and Tian G., *Kähler-Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariant*, Invent. Math. **110** (1992), 315-335.

[15] De Figueiredo D.G., do Ó J.M., and Ruf B., *On an inequality by N. Trudinger and J. Moser and related elliptic equations,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), 135-152.

[16] Flücher M., *Extremal functions for the Trudinger-Moser inequality in* 2 *dimensions,* Comment. Math. Helv. **67** (1992), 471-497.

[17] Fontana L., *Sharp borderline Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds,* Comment. Math. Helv. **68** (1993), 415-454.

[18] Gazzola F., Grunau H.-Ch. and Sweers G., *Polyharmonic boundary value problems, Positivity preserving and nonlinear higher order elliptic equations in bounded domains*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1991**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg etc. (2010).

[19] Li Y., *Moser-Trudinger inequality on compact Riemannian manifolds of dimension two,* J. Part. Diff. Equa. **14** (2001), 163-192.

[20] Li Y., Liu P. and Yang Y., *Moser-Trudinger inequalities of vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension 2,* Calc. Var. P.D.E. **28** (2007), 59-83.

[21] Li Y. and Ruf B., *A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), 451-480.

[22] Lin K.C., *Extremal functions for Moser's inequality*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 2663-2671.

[23] Lu G. and Yang Y., *Adams' inequalities for bi-Laplacian and extremal functions in dimension four*, Adv. Math. **220** (2009), 1135-1170.

[24] Mancini G. and Sandeep K., *Moser-Trudinger inequality on conformal discs*, preprint, ArXiv: 0910.0971 (2009).

[25] Maz'ya V.G., *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer Verlag, Berlin New York (1985).

[26] Moser J., *A sharp form of an inequality by N.Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1971), 1077-1092.

[27] Struwe M., *Critical points of embedding of* $H_0^{1,n}$ *into Orlicz space,* Ann. I.H.P. Anal. non linéaire **5** (1988), 425-464.

[28] Tertikas A. and Tintarev K., *On existence of minimizers for the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **186** (2007), 645-662.

[29] Tian G. and Zhu X., *A nonlinear inequality of MoserTrudinger type*, Calc. Var. P.D.E. **10** (2000), 349-354.

[30] Trudinger N.S., *On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications*, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1967), 473-483.

[31] Yang Y., *A sharp form of MoserTrudinger inequality on compact Riemannian surface,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007) 5761-5776.

Albert-Ludwigs-Universität Freiburg, Mathematisches Institut, Eckerstr. 1, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany
E-mail address: `guofang.wang@math.uni-freiburg.de`

LMAM, UMR 7122, Département de Mathématiques, Université Paul Verlaine de Metz, F-57045 Metz, France
E-mail address: `dong.ye@univ-metz.fr`